

SYSTEMS OF POLYNOMIALS OVER FINITE FIELDS

KARIM JOHANNES BECHER

ABSTRACT. We present a famous theorem about systems of polynomial equations over a finite field, the *Chevalley-Warning theorem*. We mention some questions of recent study in this context.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F be a finite set and let $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider a function $g : F^n \rightarrow F^r$. We can ask what a value $g(x)$ tells us about the input $x \in F$, depending on our knowledge about the function g . Formally, we consider for a point $y \in F^r$ the preimage $g^{-1}(y) = \{x \in F^n \mid g(x) = y\}$, also called the *fibre of y* (w.r.t. g).

We will now delve into a context of algebra where the function g is given by some computation rule. We will then encounter some conditions on g that have a strong impact on the fibres and their cardinalities.

The context is that of a finite field. By a *field*, we mean a structure $(F, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ where F is a set, 0 and 1 are two different distinguished elements of F , and where $+$ and \cdot are binary operations $F \times F \rightarrow F$ called *addition* and *multiplication* satisfying the usual rules of arithmetic. (By this, we mean that each of $+$ and \cdot are commutative and associative and that together they satisfy the distributivity law, further that 0 and 1 are neutral elements for $+$ and for \cdot , respectively, that elements of F have inverses for $+$ and elements of $F \setminus \{0\}$ have inverses for the multiplication. This allows one to define the derived operations *subtraction* $- : F \times F \rightarrow F$ and *inversion* $^{-1} : F \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow F$.)

Typical fields used in all areas of mathematics are \mathbb{Q} (the rational numbers), \mathbb{R} (the real numbers) and \mathbb{C} (the complex numbers). But there are also finite fields. Those are very interesting for applications, such as in cryptography. Note that the set of integers \mathbb{Z} satisfies most of the prerequisites of a field, but is lacking inverses for the multiplication.

We fix now a prime number p . Modular arithmetic for integers modulo p defines a field with p elements. As a set, this is $\{\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \dots, \overline{p-1}\}$, where we define the operations just as for integers, but apply division with residue to any sum or product, so as to always end up with a computation result in this set. We denote this field by $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ or by \mathbb{F}_p .

These give a crucial class of examples of finite fields, which have a prime number as their cardinality. There also exists for any $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ a field with p^k elements,

and their construction is a bit more involved. In the sequel, the field \mathbb{F}_p may serve as a guiding example.

Given a field F , we can consider functions $g : F^n \rightarrow F^r$ given by polynomials. In fact, in the case where F is a finite field, all functions are given by the evaluation of some system of polynomials $g = (g_1, \dots, g_r)$, that is, where $g_i \in F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, that is, g_1, \dots, g_r are polynomials with coefficients in F in the variables X_1, \dots, X_n . In our main case of interest, we can understand these polynomials given with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} . An example is $X_1X_2 - 4X_3^2 - 3X_2X_4$. A product $cX_1^{e_1} \cdots X_n^{e_n}$ with $(e_1, \dots, e_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $c \in F \setminus \{0\}$ is called a *monomial*, and we call the number $e = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$ its *degree*. The *degree* of an arbitrary polynomial g is defined as the maximum of the degrees of the monomials that occur in it and denoted by $\deg(g)$.

2. THE CHEVALLEY-WARNING THEOREMS

Let p be a prime number and $F = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Let $g_1, \dots, g_r \in F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Let $d = \deg(g_1) + \cdots + \deg(g_r)$. Consider the evaluation function

$$g : F^n \rightarrow F^r, x \mapsto (g_1(x), \dots, g_r(x)).$$

2.1. Theorem (Chevalley-Warning, 1935). *Assume that $d < n$. For any $y \in F^r$, $|g^{-1}(y)|$ is a multiple of p , and if $g^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$, then $|g^{-1}(y)| \geq p^{n-d}$.*

The proof is surprisingly simple. It makes crucial use of *Fermat's Little Theorem*, which says that $x^{p-1} = 1$ for any $x \in F \setminus \{0\}$.

The proof can be reduced to the case where $n = d + 1$. In that case, the theorem needs only to be proven for $y = 0$. Indeed, if $y = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$, we set $g'_i = g_i - y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and obtain for the resulting $g' : F^n \rightarrow F^r$ the same degree and $g^{-1}(y) = g'^{-1}(0)$.

In the proof, one considers the *Chevalley polynomial* given by g , which is

$$\chi_g = \prod_{i=1}^r (1 - g_i^{p-1}).$$

It has $\deg(\chi_g) = d(p-1)$ and the curious property that, for any $x \in F^n$,

$$\chi_g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g(x) = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A new proof of the refined statement above can be found in [1].

2.2. Theorem (Heath-Brown, 2011). *Assume that $d < n$ and that $y \in F^r$ is such that $|g^{-1}(y)| = p^{n-d}$. Then $g^{-1}(y)$ is an $(n-d)$ -dimensional affine subspace of F^r .*

2.3. Theorem (Heath-Brown 2011, Leep-Petrik, 2023). *Assume that $d < n$ and $p \geq 3$. Let $y \in F^r$. Then $g^{-1}(y) = \emptyset$ or $|g^{-1}(y)| = p^{n-r}$ or $|g^{-1}(y)| \geq 2p^{n-r}$.*

A particular study for the case $p = 2$ was recently undertaken by D. Leep, reaching optimal results on the lowest possible cardinalities of the fibres larger than 2^{n-d} , for all pairs (n, d) .

2.4. Theorem (Clark-Genao-Saia, 2021). *Assume that $d = n$. For any $y, y' \in F^r$, we have $|g^{-1}(y)| \equiv |g^{-1}(y')| \pmod{p}$. In particular, either $|g^{-1}(y)|$ is a multiple of p for all $y \in F^r$, or $g : F^n \rightarrow F^r$ is surjective.*

In the case where $d = n$, sufficient conditions of a different type for all fibres having cardinality a multiple of p were given in [7, Theorem 3.1].

Most of the above results hold more generally for finite fields F with $p = |F|$ being a prime power. In that situation, the fact that in the case $d < n$ the fibres have cardinality divisible by p is more difficult, and goes back to [2].

For example, one can look at the field with 4 elements

$$\mathbb{F}_4 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Over this field, the *Fermat cubic* $g = X_1^3 + X_2^3 + X_3^3$ gives a nice example of a polynomial of degree 3 in 3 variables such that $g : F^3 \rightarrow F$ is not surjective and the fibres have cardinality 28 and 36.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Asgarli. A new proof of Warning's second theorem. *Amer. Math. Monthly* 125 (2018) 549–553.
- [2] J. Ax. Zeroes of polynomials over finite fields. *Am. J. Math.* 86 (1964) 255–261.
- [3] C. Chevalley. Démonstration d'une hypothèse de M. Artin. *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamb.* 11 (1) (1935) 73–75.
- [4] P.L. Clark, T. Genao, F. Saia. Chevalley-Warning at the boundary. *Expo. Math.* 39 (2021), 604–623.
- [5] D.R. Heath-Brown. On Chevalley-Warning theorems. *Usp. Mat. Nauk* 66 (2(398)) (2011) 223–232.
- [6] D.B. Leep, R.L. Petrik. Further improvements to the Chevalley-Warning theorems. *Finite Fields Appl.* 89 (2023), Paper No. 102194, 16 pp.
- [7] H. Pasten. On the Chevalley-Warning theorem when the degree equals the number of variables. *Combinatorica* 42 (2022), 1481–1486.
- [8] E. Warning. Bemerkung zur vorstehenden Arbeit von Herrn Chevalley. *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamb.* 11 (1) (1935) 76–83.

UNIVERSITY OF ANTWERP, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIDDELHEIMLAAN 1, 2020 ANTWERP, BELGIUM.

Email address: karimjohannes.becher@uantwerpen.be